Autopsy- Finck's testimony, S-I 2/25/69

Asked about would "presumably of entry" in raport wasreas he testified it was definitely of entry, he responded, "Idm. Guildowy told us to but in that word"presumably"...." This means that all the doctors were present at the Navy Mospital the afternoon of 12/24/65, with Gallowsy, and that all of them went over the draft. It places Callowsy in a position to be responsible for the elimination of the statement of front entry from Callas. And it makes it difficult to believe that all of this calla have been accomplished in the specified times, with "umes" receipt saying he turned in his notes at 5 p.m2 and his statement to Clark that he delivered the sutopsy report to Eurkley (or Clark a statement that he did) at 5:30, even if Eurkley had been at the hospital.

Despite the penel report finding that the resident had been hit in the back of the head, Finck repeatedly refers to the hit in the back of the head.

He let par above, asked how much time he spent on the report he replied "I cannot give an exact figure. I was called in by Dr. Bumes to Betheade. I would say I spent several hours with Dr. Humes end Dr. Boswell before I signed it."

Bethesda

"When I arrived at time hospital, the A-rays had been taken of the head. After I found the wound at the back of the neck (emph added) and no corresponding exit, I requested A-rays. My purpose was to see if there was a bullet in the body. A A-ray will reveal a bullet." He was said the A-rays did show fragments in the cadaver, only fragments.

On the location of the wound of "lleged entry in the hear, he explaimed that A-rays are saldom "to scale". Thus, the head A-rays would have to be four-times life size to warrant the use of the measurement 100 mm by thepenel.

"The second wound was at the back of the head and the exit of this would, the right top side of the head..."

Asked is the A-rays were viewed he suid, "The A-rays were made by a radiologiet. He said there was no bullet left in the cadever."

Le acknowledged some of the X-rays did not some out but did not ask a they be duplicated. All the 4-rays were developed immediately.

"lsn't it a fact that you were told not to go through the throat area?/
"Yes, but I don't remember the details.

"The told you not to go through the threat erea?

"I did not do it." (All of this pessege, marked, should be quoted in the add to foot Mortem.

Finck said ha thought the orders, including not to dissect to trace the path of the bullet, were given by an Admiral Kinney. The Sibert-O'Weill reports identifies Admiral "Holloway" (Galloway) and "Earkley" (Burkley) as present, and Maj. Gen Wehle. Commanding Officer of the Military District of Weshington as present during the autopsy. There is essual mention, separately, of the presence of Brigadier General Godfrey McBush, but not of any name, in any rank, like "Kintey" or of any other admiral.

At one point under cross examination, Finck was asked "Give us the name of the general who instructed Omdr. "umes not to talk about the autopsy report". His response was, "This was not a general, this was an admiral. This was in the autopsy room." "G. That is his name?" "A. There were several people in charge, as I recall. It was admiral Kiney at that time, ask I recall." Then asked,

"What was theneme of the general in charge of the autorsy?", "inch responded,
"T herew was no general in charge. Adm. Humes said, 'Who is in charge here?' and a
general answered, 'I am'. That doesn't mean he was in charge of the autopsy.
He was in charge of the general operation."

Amony the questions this reises is why, at the relatively late time of Finck's arrival, there we any doubt in humas' mind about who was in charge, or why the question should have come up in any way if this was a purely medicolegal determination, to be made by professionals only, and with no other a therest than the determination of fact, the solution of a crime, the convicting of the guilty, then, presumeably, under arrest and carteinly still alive.